

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,296	02/25/2002	Steve M. Matusek	200.402 7109	
7590 01/06/2004  The Law Office of Debra J. Fickler 12525 Grandview Drive			EXAMINER	
			MILLER, CARL STUART	
Huntley, IL 60142-9501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
-			3747	4
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		l c			
· •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_	10/084,296	MATUSEK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carl S. Miller	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ı				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received. (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 set sentence of the specification ovisional application has been	cation No eived in this National Stage eived. 9(e) (to a provisional application) n or in an Application Data Sheet. received.			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of th					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) D Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)



Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiraku.

In particular, the applicant's attention is directed to column 6, lines 14 - 25.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kono.

In particular, the applicant's attention is directed to the Abstract, Figure 1 and column 5, lines 35-54.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kono in view of Sufferedini.

Page 3

Kono applies as noted above and Sufferdini teaches sensing both the operating voltage reaching the electric pump and sensing a voltage representative of fuel pressure. The reference also senses engine speed (claim 4) and it would have been obvious to sense this as a voltage as well because it was just another engine parameter.

It would have been obvious to modify Kono by sensing the various parameters of control as voltages as taught by Suffredini because Kono is silent on whether his inputs are in the form of voltages or currents and either could have been used to control the Kono pump.

Claims 5 – 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kono in view of Yamada.

Kono applies as noted and Yamada teaches using a series of switches to vary input voltage to a high-pressure pump in order to vary pump output.

It would have been obvious to use switches to vary the voltage to the pump of Kono in order to increase the pump output because this was a common way to vary pump speed and thus pump output.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,296

Art Unit: 3747

Claim 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kono in view of Wright.

Komo applies as noted and Wright teaches using <u>current</u> outputs from sensors and variable <u>currents</u> to a pump drive to vary pump output.

It would have been obvious to use the variable output pump of Wright as the high pressure pump since both Kono and Wright have as their main objective increasing pump speed and therefore pump fuel output.

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kono and Wright as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of Yamada.

Yamada applies as per claims 5-7 above and it would have been obvious to still use variable voltage to control pump speed even through current inputs are used from the sensors since the latter could have been converted into appropriate voltage outputs to drive the pump.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,296

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Miller at telephone number 703-308-2653.

Carl S. Miller Primary Examine: Page 5